

# Towards a Circular Economy: A Comprehensive Review on the Reuse of Steel Bridge Members with Fatigue Damage in Structural Applications



Girmay Mengesha Azanaw

**Abstract:** This comprehensive review explores the potential for reusing steel bridge members with fatigue damage in new structural applications, emphasizing the transition towards a circular economy in the construction industry. Steel bridges, known for their durability, often face fatigue-related degradation due to cyclic loading, leading to their decommissioning. However, with advancements in non-destructive testing (NDT), structural health monitoring (SHM), and repair technologies, these components can be repurposed effectively for secondary uses in both bridge and building structures. This paper examines key methods for assessing fatigue damage, including traditional NDT techniques such as ultrasonic testing, magnetic particle inspection, and newer machine learning-based SHM systems that provide real-time monitoring of fatigue progression. Additionally, innovative repair and strengthening strategies, such as the use of advanced composites and structural retrofitting, are reviewed to restore residual strength and extend the service life of damaged steel members. Design integration for reused steel components is also explored, focusing on safety and performance, and including the application of computational models to validate design changes. The environmental and economic benefits of steel reuse are discussed, highlighting reduced carbon footprints, minimized resource consumption, and cost savings, while contributing to a circular economy framework. The paper provides case studies and real-world applications where reused steel components have been successfully integrated into new infrastructure projects. Lastly, the paper identifies gaps in current policies, standards, and regulations, offering recommendations for accelerating the adoption of circular economy principles in steel construction. This review is crucial for fostering sustainability in structural engineering and paves the way for future research on enhancing the reuse potential of fatigue-damaged steel bridge members.

**Keywords:** Circular Economy, Steel Reuse, Fatigue Damage, Structural Health Monitoring, Sustainability.

## Abbreviations:

NDT: Non-Destructive Testing  
SHM: Structural Health Monitoring  
FEM: Finite Element Modeling  
AI: Artificial Intelligence  
ML: Machine Learning  
EU: European Union  
U.S.: United States  
LCA: Lifecycle Assessment  
RT: Radiographic Testing  
MPI: Magnetic Particle Inspection  
UT: Ultrasonic Testing

Manuscript received on 26 March 2025 | First Revised Manuscript received on 30 March 2025 | Second Revised Manuscript received on 04 April 2025 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 April 2025 | Manuscript published on 30 April 2025.

\*Correspondence Author(s)

Girmay Mengesha Azanaw\*, Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, Institute of Technology, University of Gondar, (Gondar), Ethiopia. [girmay.mengesha@uog.edu.et](mailto:girmay.mengesha@uog.edu.et), ORCID ID: [0009-0009-7187-6572](https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7187-6572)

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

AE: Acoustic Emission  
DIC: Digital Image Correlation  
VI: Visual Inspection  
ECT: Eddy Current Testing  
GFRP: Glass Fiber-Reinforced Polymer  
CFRP: Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Polymer  
FEA: Finite Element Analysis

## I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of a circular economy has emerged as a sustainable alternative to the traditional linear economy, which follows a "take, make, dispose" model. By emphasizing the reuse, refurbishment, and recycling of materials, a circular economy minimizes waste generation and resource depletion [1]. The construction sector, which accounts for a significant portion of global carbon emissions and resource consumption, stands to benefit substantially from adopting circular principles [2].

Steel bridges, despite their durability and long service life, often experience fatigue-related damage caused by cyclic loading over time. Structural members exhibiting fatigue damage are frequently decommissioned and discarded, contributing to material waste. However, with advancements in structural assessment, repair, and monitoring technologies, these components can be repurposed for secondary applications in both bridge and building structures [3].

This review aims to evaluate the feasibility of reusing steel bridge members with fatigue damage by exploring the following key areas:

### A. Fatigue Damage Mechanisms

Understanding how fatigue damage develops and progresses in steel bridge components.

### B. Assessment and Monitoring Techniques

Investigating state-of-the-art non-destructive testing (NDT) methods [Table 1] and structural health monitoring (SHM) systems for fatigue detection.

### C. Repair and Strengthening Methods

Reviewing innovative repair technologies to restore the structural integrity of damaged steel members.

### D. Design and Structural Integration

Examining design strategies that facilitate the reuse of repaired components in new applications.



**E. Environmental and Economic Impact**

Assessing the sustainability and cost-effectiveness of reuse initiatives [Table II].

The ultimate objective of this review is to contribute to the advancement of circular economy principles in the construction sector by encouraging the reuse of steel bridge components, thereby reducing carbon emissions and conserving valuable resources.

**Table-I: Comparison of NDT Techniques for Fatigue Damage Assessment**

Technique	Principle of Operation	Advantages	Limitations	Application Suitability
Ultrasonic Testing (UT)	Uses high-frequency sound waves to detect flaws	High sensitivity, accurate depth measurement	Limited surface access required, requires skilled operators	Ideal for internal crack detection
Magnetic Particle Inspection (MPI)	Applies magnetic fields to detect surface and near-surface cracks	Quick and cost-effective	Only applicable to ferromagnetic materials	Effective for detecting surface cracks
Radiographic Testing (RT)	Uses X-rays or gamma rays to inspect internal defects	Provides clear imaging of internal flaws	Expensive, safety concerns	Suitable for complex geometries and welds
Eddy Current Testing (ECT)	Induces electromagnetic fields to detect surface and near-surface flaws	Portable, no need for surface preparation	Limited to conductive materials	Best for crack detection in thin materials
Acoustic Emission (AE)	Monitors sound waves generated by crack growth	Real-time monitoring, effective for early detection	Requires continuous monitoring setup	Useful for fatigue crack propagation studies
Digital Image Correlation (DIC)	Tracks deformation using optical imaging	Non-contact, provides full-field strain data	Requires complex setup and calibration	Suitable for laboratory analysis and validation

**Table-II: Environmental and Economic Benefits of Steel Reuse**

Benefit Category	Description
<b>Environmental Benefits</b>	
Reduction in CO2 emissions	Lower emissions by reducing new steel production
Conservation of natural resources	Less demand for virgin raw materials (iron ore, coal)
Waste minimization	Reduction in landfill disposal of decommissioned steel
Energy savings	Less energy required for processing reused steel
Circular economy enhancement	Encourages sustainable material cycles
<b>Economic Benefits</b>	
Cost savings	Lower material and production costs for new structures
Job creation	Promotes employment in refurbishment and repurposing industries
Market competitiveness	Strengthens secondary steel market viability
Infrastructure affordability	Enables cost-effective bridge and building construction
Long-term investment benefits	Enhances asset value through sustainable practices

**II. UNDERSTANDING FATIGUE DAMAGE IN STEEL BRIDGES**

Fatigue damage in steel bridges results from the accumulation of microstructural damage due to repetitive cyclic loading. Over time, this leads to the initiation and

propagation of cracks, which may compromise structural integrity and safety [4]. Understanding the factors influencing fatigue behavior and the mechanisms involved is essential for assessing the reuse potential of steel bridge components.

**A. Fatigue Damage Mechanisms**

Fatigue damage typically initiates at regions of stress concentration, such as weld joints, bolted connections, and material defects. The process is often categorized into three main stages [5].

- **Crack Initiation:** Formation of micro cracks at the surface or within the material.
- **Crack Propagation:** Growth of cracks under cyclic loading.
- **Final Fracture:** Catastrophic failure when the crack reaches a critical size.

**B. Factors Influencing Fatigue Behavior**

The following factors significantly [6] impact the fatigue life of steel bridge members [8].

- **Loading Conditions:** Variable amplitude loading, dynamic forces, and environmental effects.
- **Material Properties:** Steel grade, microstructure, and toughness.
- **Geometry and Design:** Presence of stress risers and poor detailing.
- **Welding Quality:** Weld defects and residual stresses.
- **Corrosion and Environmental Exposure:** Accelerated fatigue due to corrosion-induced pits and cracks.

**C. Residual Strength and Serviceability**

Assessing the residual strength of fatigue-damaged steel members is crucial for reuse applications. Structural analysis techniques, such as finite element modeling (FEM) and fracture mechanics are commonly used to predict the remaining load-carrying capacity [7].

**III. ASSESSMENT AND MONITORING TECHNIQUES**

The assessment and monitoring of fatigue-damaged steel members are crucial for determining their suitability for reuse in new structural applications. Various techniques, including Non-Destructive Testing (NDT), Structural Health Monitoring (SHM), and computational modeling, are employed to evaluate the extent of fatigue damage and predict future performance.

**A. Non-Destructive Testing (NDT)**

Non-destructive testing (NDT) methods are essential for detecting and quantifying fatigue damage without compromising the structural integrity of steel members. Different NDT techniques are suited to identifying specific types of damage and have varying levels of sensitivity, making them crucial in the evaluation process [Table III].



**Table-III: Comparison of NDT Techniques for Fatigue Damage Assessment**

NDT Technique	Principle	Advantages	Limitations	Application
Ultrasonic Testing (UT)	Uses sound waves to detect internal defects	High accuracy, detects small cracks	Requires skilled operators	Weld inspections, internal crack detection
Magnetic Particle Inspection (MPI)	Magnetic fields highlight surface cracks	Simple, cost-effective	Limited to surface/subsurface flaws	Surface crack detection in ferromagnetic materials
Radiographic Testing (RT)	X-rays visualize internal structures	Detailed imaging, permanent records	Safety concerns, expensive	Complex geometries and critical welds
Acoustic Emission (AE)	Monitors sound emitted from crack growth	Real-time monitoring, early detection	Sensitive to background noise	Fatigue crack growth monitoring
Visual Inspection (VI)	Direct visual examination	Quick, low-cost	Subjective, limited to visible defects	Preliminary assessments and routine inspections
Eddy Current Testing (ECT)	Uses electromagnetic fields to detect cracks	High sensitivity for surface flaws	Limited to conductive materials	Surface defect detection in steel structures

**B. Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) Systems**

Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) is a process of continuous or periodic assessment of a structure's condition using sensors and data acquisition systems. SHM systems allow real-time monitoring of fatigue-induced damage and provide valuable insights into the structure's residual life [26]. These systems are particularly useful in bridge monitoring [27], as they can detect issues such as crack growth and deformation under operational loads [28].

Recent advances in SHM systems have incorporated machine learning algorithms to improve data interpretation and predict future fatigue damage. Digital twins, virtual representations of physical structures, are being used to simulate and analyze the fatigue behavior of steel bridge components, allowing for optimized reuse strategies [10].

**C. Computational Modeling and Simulation**

Computational modeling, such as Finite Element Analysis (FEA) and fracture mechanics simulations, plays an important role in assessing the fatigue life of steel bridge members. These methods allow engineers to model the stress and strain distribution in bridge components, predict crack initiation, and estimate the remaining load-carrying capacity of damaged members. Moreover, computational techniques can simulate the effects of different repair and strengthening strategies, providing a virtual environment to evaluate potential outcomes without physically testing the components.

Recent advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have enhanced the accuracy of fatigue damage predictions, offering promising avenues for automating the analysis of large data sets from NDT and SHM systems [13].

**D. Combined Assessment Approaches**

In practice, a combination of NDT, SHM, and computational modeling is used to assess the fatigue condition of steel members comprehensively. NDT methods

are employed for initial defect detection, SHM provides continuous monitoring during service, and computational modeling helps to predict long-term performance and optimize repair strategies.

**IV. REPAIR AND STRENGTHENING STRATEGIES**

Repairing and strengthening steel bridge members with fatigue damage is critical for ensuring their safety, extending their service life, and enabling their reuse in new structural applications. Several repair and strengthening techniques have been developed to restore or enhance the residual strength of fatigued steel members. These methods can address both local and global structural issues resulting from fatigue-induced cracks or damage.

**A. Traditional Repair Methods**

Traditional repair methods for fatigue damage in steel structures often focus on restoring the affected area by welding or bolting additional material to reinforce the damaged section. Common techniques include:

- **Welding:** The damaged area is welded to restore the continuity of the material. This method is effective for small cracks but may not be suitable for large-scale damage or where residual stresses are present.
- **Steel Plate Bonding:** A steel plate is bolted or welded to the damaged member to restore its load-carrying capacity. This is often used for repairing crack-prone areas like weld joints.
- **Reinforcement with Steel Brackets:** Steel brackets are added to transfer loads around the damaged region. This technique is used when there is significant fatigue damage but the structural member can still carry loads.

**B. Advanced Repair Techniques**

With advancements in materials and technologies, several novel repair techniques have been developed to restore the performance of fatigued steel bridge members. These include:

- **Composite Strengthening:** The use of carbon fiber-reinforced polymer (CFRP) and glass fiber-reinforced polymer (GFRP) wraps and plates for reinforcing steel components has gained popularity. These materials provide high strength-to-weight ratios and are resistant to corrosion, making them ideal for extending the service life of steel members exposed to harsh environmental conditions [23].
- **Cold-Formed Steel Plates:** These plates are applied to the surface of steel members to reinforce areas subject to high-stress concentrations. The use of cold-formed steel ensures the repair is both effective and cost-efficient.
- **Sprayed Concrete and Cementitious Materials:** In some cases, sprayed concrete or other cementitious materials can be applied over steel members to protect them from corrosion and fatigue damage. This method is particularly useful for members exposed to harsh environmental conditions.





**Table-IV: Comparison of Repair Techniques for Fatigue-Damaged Steel**

Repair Method	Advantages	Limitations	Common Applications
Welding	Cost-effective, widely used	Requires skilled labor, potential for residual stress	Small cracks and weld defects
Steel Plate Bonding	Restores strength, simple application	Limited to certain geometries, adds weight	Crack-prone regions, local repairs
Composite Strengthening	Lightweight, high-strength-to-weight ratio, corrosion-resistant	Expensive, may require surface preparation	Critical structures exposed to corrosion
Cold-Formed Steel Plates	Cost-effective, easy to apply, improves strength	May not be suitable for highly damaged regions	Reinforcement of structural members under stress
Sprayed Concrete	Provides protection against corrosion, durable	Adds weight, may not restore original strength	Bridges exposed to aggressive environments

**C. Strengthening Using Additive Manufacturing (3D Printing)**

Additive manufacturing, or 3D printing, is emerging as a promising method for strengthening fatigue-damaged steel components [25]. This technology allows for the precise deposition of material to reinforce specific areas of a steel member with complex geometries [29]. It can be particularly useful for addressing localized damage and providing targeted reinforcement [30].

**D. Fatigue Crack Arrestor Techniques**

In some cases, it is necessary to arrest the propagation of fatigue cracks to prevent catastrophic failure. Several methods have been developed to address this issue:

- **Fatigue Crack Arrestor Plates:** These are placed near critical crack locations to stop the propagation of fatigue cracks and redistribute stresses.
- **Residual Stress Inducing Techniques:** Techniques such as shot preening and surface hardening are used to induce beneficial residual stresses in the steel, thereby improving its resistance to fatigue crack initiation and propagation [24].

**E. Design Integration for Reused Steel Members**

When reusing steel members with fatigue damage in new bridge and building structures, design integration plays a critical role in ensuring the safety and performance of the final structure. Modifications such as:

- Redesigning connections to reduce stress concentrations
- Using innovative jointing techniques (e.g., bolted connections with improved fatigue resistance)
- Applying computational methods to optimize the placement of reused steel members are essential for successful integration.

**V. STRUCTURAL APPLICATIONS AND DESIGN INTEGRATION**

The reuse of steel bridge members with fatigue damage presents a significant opportunity to reduce waste and promote sustainability in structural engineering. However, effectively integrating these members into new bridge and building designs requires careful consideration of various factors, such as load-carrying capacity, durability, and fatigue

resistance. This section explores the key approaches and strategies for incorporating reused steel bridge members into new structural applications, while ensuring safety, performance, and cost-effectiveness.

**A. Incorporating Reused Steel Members in New Bridge Designs**

When integrating reused steel bridge members into new bridge designs, the primary considerations include ensuring that the reused components can still perform adequately under expected service loads. Factors such as the extent of fatigue damage, residual strength, and the potential for further degradation must be evaluated. The design process must also incorporate safety margins to account for uncertainties related to the member's past performance.

*i. Common Strategies for Integration Include*

- **Using Reused Members in Non-Critical Areas:** Reused steel members can be integrated into areas of the bridge that are not subject to high-stress concentrations, such as secondary beams, or non-load-bearing components.
- **Reinforcing Fatigue-Damaged Areas:** If fatigue damage is detected, the affected areas can be reinforced using advanced strengthening techniques, such as composite materials or additional steel plates, to restore the member's load-carrying capacity.
- **Adapting Structural Connections:** Special attention must be paid to designing connections that accommodate the reused steel members. This may involve modifying the connection details or using high-strength bolts to mitigate stress concentrations that could lead to further fatigue damage.

**Table-V: Strategies for Integrating Reused Steel Members into New Bridge Designs**

Strategy	Description	Advantages	Limitations
Using Reused Members in Non-Critical Areas	Place reused members in less critical parts of the structure, like secondary beams or bracing elements.	Cost-effective, reduces material waste	Potential for reduced performance in critical areas
Reinforcing Fatigue-Damaged Areas	Strengthen fatigue-damaged members using techniques like CFRP bonding or steel plate bonding.	Restores load-carrying capacity, extends service life	Requires careful assessment and precise application
Adapting Structural Connections	Modify connections to accommodate reused members, ensuring proper load transfer and minimizing stress concentrations.	Ensures safe integration, enhances performance	May require advanced connection design expertise

**B. Reusing Steel Bridge Members in Building Structures**

Steel bridge members can also be repurposed for use in building structures, where they can serve as key components of load-bearing systems. Common applications include:

- **Structural Frames:** Reused steel members can be used to form the primary structure of industrial or commercial buildings. They can be particularly effective in the construction of multi-story buildings, where



their strength and durability are essential.

- **Bracing Systems:** Steel bridge components can be integrated into bracing systems to improve lateral stability in tall buildings, especially in areas prone to seismic activity or high winds.
- **Facade Elements:** Reused steel members can be used for aesthetic purposes in the facade of buildings, combining function and form while contributing to sustainability goals.

### C. Design Optimization for Reused Steel Members

The integration of reused steel components requires careful design optimization to ensure that they perform at their best in their new application. Computational tools, such as finite element analysis (FEA) and structural optimization algorithms can be used to predict the behavior of reused components under various loading conditions.

- **Finite Element Modeling (FEM):** FEM is used to simulate the behavior of reused steel members within the new structure. It helps assess the structural performance, identify potential weak points, and optimize the design for load distribution and safety.
- **Topology Optimization:** Topology optimization methods can be applied to minimize material usage and weight while ensuring that the structural performance meets design requirements. This is particularly useful when integrating reused steel members, as it helps in maximizing the efficiency of the component within the new structure.

### D. Addressing Durability and Long-Term Performance

To ensure the long-term durability of reused steel members in both bridge and building applications, several factors must be considered:

- **Corrosion Protection:** Reused steel members must undergo thorough surface preparation, including cleaning, corrosion treatment, and application of protective coatings, to prevent further degradation over time. Galvanization or the use of corrosion-resistant coatings such as epoxy or polyurethane can be effective.
- **Monitoring and Maintenance:** Structural health monitoring (SHM) systems, which use sensors to monitor the condition of steel members in real-time, can be employed to detect early signs of damage or degradation. This proactive approach enables timely repairs and extends the service life of reused steel members.

**Table-VI: Durability Considerations for Reused Steel Members**

Consideration	Description	Recommended Action
Corrosion Protection	Reused steel members must be protected from corrosion to ensure longevity.	Apply corrosion-resistant coatings, galvanization, or rust removal treatments.
Monitoring and Maintenance	Ongoing monitoring is necessary to assess the condition of reused components.	Implement SHM systems and periodic inspections.
Fatigue Resistance	Ensure the reused steel members can withstand additional fatigue loading.	Reinforce fatigue-damaged areas and optimize design.

### E. Case Studies

- **Case Study 1: Reuse of Steel Bridge Members in a New Highway Bridge**

In a project in the UK, steel members from decommissioned highway bridges were repurposed in a new bridge project. Detailed assessment and strengthening were performed on the fatigue-damaged members before reuse. The integration of these members helped reduce material costs and environmental impacts, as well as the embodied carbon of the project [21].

- **Case Study 2: Repurposing Steel Bridge Members in Building Structures**

A project in the United States involved the reuse of steel bridge beams in the construction of a multi-story building. The beams were assessed for residual strength and fatigue damage, and then reinforced using composite materials [22]. The building structure was completed successfully with the reused steel members performing as expected under load [31].

## VI. ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT

The reuse of steel bridge members with fatigue damage not only offers substantial environmental benefits but also presents significant economic advantages. This section evaluates the environmental and economic impacts of reusing steel components, including reductions in material waste, energy consumption, and carbon emissions, while highlighting the cost-effectiveness of such practices in infrastructure projects.

### A. Environmental Benefits of Steel Reuse

The construction sector is a major contributor to global greenhouse gas emissions, with the production of steel being one of the most energy-intensive processes in the industry. By reusing steel components, particularly from decommissioned bridges, significant reductions in energy consumption, raw material extraction, and carbon emissions can be achieved.

- **Reduction in Raw Material Consumption:** Reusing steel bridge members reduces the demand for virgin materials, such as iron ore and scrap steel. Steel is a highly recyclable material, and recycling can cut down on the energy needed for production by up to 60% [19].
- **Lower Carbon Emissions:** The production of steel accounts for around 8% of global carbon emissions. By reusing steel, emissions associated with its manufacturing process are reduced, making it an effective strategy for mitigating climate change [18].
- **Reduction in Waste:** The reuse of steel members prevents these components from ending up in landfills, contributing to a reduction in construction and demolition waste, which constitutes a large portion of global waste [1].

### B. Economic Benefits of Steel Reuse

Reusing steel components in construction projects can offer significant cost savings, both in terms of direct material



costs and indirect economic benefits related to sustainability.

- **Cost Savings on Material Procurement:** Reusing steel members reduces the need to purchase new steel, which can account for a large portion of the material costs in construction. Depending on the extent of the fatigue damage and the need for reinforcement, reused steel members can be integrated into new projects at a fraction of the cost of new materials.
- **Reduced Disposal and Waste Management Costs:** The disposal of decommissioned steel bridge members can be costly, especially if these members contain hazardous materials or require special handling. By reusing steel, disposal costs are minimized, and the project’s overall budget can be optimized.
- **Increased Project Efficiency:** The reuse of steel components can accelerate construction timelines since the material is already manufactured and available. The reduction in the procurement and production phases also speeds up the overall project execution.

**Table-VII: Cost Comparison: Reuse vs. New Steel Components**

Cost Component	New Steel Components	Reused Steel Components
Material Cost	High (requires raw materials, energy, and manufacturing)	Lower (cost of procurement and processing)
Labor Cost	Similar (depending on fabrication and installation)	Similar (requires inspection, cleaning, and possible reinforcement)
Transport and Handling Costs	Standard costs for new materials	Reduced, as existing components may be local
Disposal and Waste Management	High (cost of removal and disposal)	Low (reuse reduces waste)
Total Project Cost	Higher overall material costs	Lower due to reduced material procurement

**C. Lifecycle Assessment (LCA) of Reused Steel**

Lifecycle assessment (LCA) is an important tool for evaluating the environmental impact of steel reuse over the entire lifespan of a structure. It considers all stages, from raw material extraction to production, construction, operation, and end-of-life disposal. Studies have shown that the environmental benefits of steel reuse are significant, especially when compared to the production of new steel [17].

- **Cradle-to-Cradle Analysis:** Reusing steel components contributes to a circular economy by reducing the need for new material production, thereby reducing overall resource consumption and emissions.
- **Energy and Emissions Savings:** According to LCA studies, the reuse of steel reduces energy consumption and CO2 emissions by 50–60% when compared to using virgin steel, making it a highly sustainable practice [20].

**D. Challenges and Considerations in Achieving Economic and Environmental Benefits**

While the environmental and economic benefits of reusing steel bridge members are clear, several challenges must be addressed to maximize these advantages:

- **Fatigue Damage and Structural Integrity:** The primary concern when reusing steel members is their

remaining fatigue life and structural integrity. A thorough assessment, including NDT and SHM, is essential to ensure that the components can meet safety standards.

- **Design Modifications:** To accommodate reused steel members, design adjustments may be required, especially when incorporating components with past fatigue damage. These modifications can sometimes result in additional costs for engineering, connection design, and reinforcement.
- **Market Availability and Supply:** The availability of decommissioned steel bridge members may be limited depending on the location and the scale of the reuse program. The logistics of sourcing, transporting, and processing the components can add complexity to the reuse process.

**E. Policy Implications and Future Research**

To fully realize the potential of steel reuse in the construction industry, policies and regulations that support circular economy principles must be developed and implemented. Governments and industry bodies can play a critical role in promoting the reuse of steel by:

- Providing incentives for sustainable building practices and material reuse
- Establishing guidelines and standards for the reuse of structural components in new projects
- Encouraging research and development into advanced assessment, repair, and reinforcement techniques for reused steel

**Table-VIII: Policy Recommendations for Promoting Steel Reuse**

Recommendation	Description	Impact
Incentivize Reuse Practices	Provide tax incentives or subsidies for projects utilizing reused steel.	Encourages investment in sustainable construction practices.
Develop Standards and Guidelines	Create clear, standardized guidelines for the reuse of structural components.	Facilitates safe and efficient integration of reused steel in new designs.
Encourage Research in Assessment and Repair	Fund research on advanced NDT, repair, and reinforcement techniques for reused steel.	Increases confidence in the reuse of fatigue-damaged components.

**VII. POLICY, STANDARDS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

The widespread adoption of steel reuse in construction projects is contingent upon the establishment of supportive policies, comprehensive standards, and forward-looking research initiatives. This section examines the current state of policies and standards related to the reuse of steel components in infrastructure projects, highlights the barriers that hinder their widespread adoption, and provides recommendations for future directions in research and practice.

**A. Existing Policies and Regulations**

Although the concept of a circular economy is gaining momentum, existing policies and standards for the reuse of steel components in construction are still evolving.





Many countries have begun to integrate sustainability principles into their infrastructure planning, but specific regulations concerning the reuse of steel in structural applications remain limited.

- **European Union:** The EU has made significant strides in promoting circular economy principles through the Circular Economy Action Plan [12], which encourages the reuse and recycling of materials, including steel. However, the lack of specific guidelines on the reuse of structural steel remains a gap in policy.
- **United States:** In the U.S., several state-level initiatives have been implemented to encourage the reuse of materials in construction, such as the Green Building Council's LEED certification program, which incentivizes the use of recycled materials (USGBC, 2019). Nevertheless, there is a lack of federal-level regulations to promote steel reuse in infrastructure projects.
- **Asia:** Countries such as Japan have been more proactive in implementing circular economy policies in construction. The Japanese government has adopted policies encouraging the recycling and reuse of materials in infrastructure, with a focus on energy efficiency and reducing environmental impact [11].

### B. Challenges in Policy and Standardization

Several challenges exist that hinder the full adoption of steel reuse in construction:

- **Lack of Clear Guidelines:** While there are standards for steel recycling, specific guidelines for the reuse of steel in construction, especially in structural applications, are limited or non-existent. This creates uncertainty for engineers and contractors who may be hesitant to reuse steel without clear protocols for its assessment and integration into new projects.
- **Regulatory Barriers:** Many existing regulations focus on the use of new materials, making it difficult for construction projects to qualify for certifications or funding if they incorporate reused components. Adjusting these regulations to recognize reused materials could promote their wider adoption.
- **Quality Control and Safety Concerns:** There are concerns about the residual strength and safety of reused steel components, especially those with past fatigue damage. Rigorous testing and monitoring protocols need to be developed to ensure that reused components meet safety standards for use in new structures [13].

### C. Proposed Policy Recommendations

To address these challenges and promote the adoption of steel reuse in the construction industry, the following policy recommendations are proposed:

- **Establish Comprehensive Guidelines for Reuse:** Governments and industry organizations should collaborate to create standardized guidelines for assessing, repairing, and reusing steel components. These guidelines should outline clear processes for determining the structural integrity of reused steel and ensure that it meets safety and performance standards.

- **Incentivize the Reuse of Steel Through Policy:** Governments can introduce financial incentives, such as tax rebates or grants, to encourage the use of reused steel in construction projects. These incentives could help offset the additional costs associated with testing, inspection, and design modifications required for the reuse of steel components.
- **Integrate Reuse into Building Codes and Certifications:** Building codes and certification systems, such as LEED, should be updated to recognize and reward the use of reused steel components. This would create an incentive for construction projects to incorporate recycled materials, further promoting the transition to a circular economy.
- **Strengthen Public Awareness and Education:** Policy efforts should also focus on raising awareness about the benefits of steel reuse, both in terms of environmental sustainability and cost savings. Educational initiatives can help shift public and industry attitudes towards more sustainable practices in construction.

**Table-IX: Proposed Policy Recommendations for Steel Reuse Adoption**

Policy Recommendation	Description	Expected Impact
Standardized Guidelines for Reuse	Develop clear, comprehensive standards for the assessment, repair, and integration of reused steel.	Improved confidence in steel reuse, leading to wider adoption.
Incentives for Reuse	Offer financial incentives (e.g., tax rebates, grants) to encourage the use of reused steel in projects.	Reduction in material costs, increased demand for reused steel.
Incorporation into Building Codes	Update building codes and certifications to recognize and reward the use of reused steel.	Greater market acceptance, improved construction sustainability.
Public Awareness Campaigns	Launch initiatives to educate the public and industry on the benefits of steel reuse.	Increased support and demand for sustainable practices in construction.

### D. Future Research Directions

Several research areas need to be explored to support the development of policies and standards for steel reuse in construction. Future research can focus on the following key areas:

- **Advanced Assessment Techniques:** Research into new non-destructive testing (NDT) methods and digital monitoring technologies (e.g., structural health monitoring systems, digital twins) can improve the accuracy and efficiency of assessing the residual strength of reused steel components [9].
- **Fatigue Damage Prediction Models:** The development of predictive models that can simulate the fatigue behavior of reused steel components, taking into account previous damage and repair history, will help engineers make better-informed decisions when selecting reused components for new projects [14].
- **Reinforcement and Repair Technologies:** Research into novel reinforcement and repair methods for reused steel members, such as the use of



advanced composites or strengthening techniques, will enhance the viability of using steel members with fatigue damage in structural applications [16].

- **Sustainability Assessment Frameworks:** The development of life-cycle assessment (LCA) models tailored to reused steel components can help quantify the environmental benefits of steel reuse, providing more robust data for policy decision-making and construction planning [15].

In conclusion, the future of steel reuse in construction lies in the establishment of clear policies and standards that facilitate its integration into new structural applications. By addressing current challenges and encouraging innovation through research and development, the construction industry can unlock the full potential of reused steel, contributing to a more sustainable and circular economy.

## VIII. CONCLUSION

The reuse of steel in construction represents a critical step towards achieving a more sustainable and circular economy. This review has explored the various aspects of steel reuse in infrastructure projects, from the benefits and challenges to the practical applications and policy recommendations necessary for its wider adoption. By reducing the environmental footprint of steel production, minimizing waste, and extending the lifecycle of structural components, steel reuse offers significant opportunities to improve sustainability in the construction industry.

### A. Key Findings

- **Environmental Benefits:** The reuse of steel components significantly reduces the need for virgin material extraction and manufacturing, leading to substantial reductions in energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This aligns with global sustainability goals, particularly in the context of the circular economy.
- **Structural Integrity and Performance:** Despite concerns about the integrity of reused steel components, advancements in assessment technologies, such as non-destructive testing (NDT) and structural health monitoring, provide reliable methods for determining the residual strength of steel. With proper inspection and repair, reused steel can perform at par with new steel.
- **Challenges:** Several barriers to the widespread adoption of steel reuse exist, including regulatory limitations, lack of standardized guidelines, and concerns about the safety and reliability of reused components. These challenges must be addressed through comprehensive policies and standards, along with continued research in fatigue damage modeling and reinforcement technologies.
- **Economic and Policy Implications:** Incorporating steel reuse into construction practices requires supportive policies, such as financial incentives and updated building codes, to make it an economically viable option. Policymakers, industry stakeholders, and researchers must work together to create a regulatory framework that encourages the use of reused steel and integrates it into mainstream construction practices.

### B. Future Directions

The future of steel reuse in construction depends on the continued evolution of technologies, standards, and policies. Key areas of focus include the development of advanced monitoring systems for steel components, the creation of predictive models for fatigue damage, and the introduction of innovative reinforcement techniques. Additionally, life-cycle assessment (LCA) models will be crucial for quantifying the environmental benefits of steel reuse, helping to drive policy changes and construction industry practices.

By addressing these research gaps and policy challenges, the construction industry can transition to a more sustainable model where reused materials, such as steel, play a central role. As the demand for sustainable construction practices grows, the integration of reused steel into building designs will not only contribute to environmental goals but also provide significant economic benefits by reducing material costs and supporting a circular economy.

In conclusion, the reuse of steel in construction is an essential component of the transition towards a circular economy. Through improved technology, supportive policies, and ongoing research, steel reuse can become a mainstream practice, driving sustainability in the built environment.

## DECLARATION STATEMENT

I must verify the accuracy of the following information as the article's author.

- **Conflicts of Interest/ Competing Interests:** Based on my understanding, this article has no conflicts of interest.
- **Funding Support:** This article has not been sponsored or funded by any organization or agency. The independence of this research is a crucial factor in affirming its impartiality, as it has been conducted without any external sway.
- **Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate:** The data provided in this article is exempt from the requirement for ethical approval or participant consent.
- **Data Access Statement and Material Availability:** The adequate resources of this article are publicly accessible.
- **Authors Contributions:** The authorship of this article is contributed solely.

## REFERENCES

1. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2015). *Towards the Circular Economy: Economic and business rationale for an accelerated transition*. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/towards-a-circular-economy-business-rationale-for-an-accelerated-transition>
2. Geyer, R., & Jackson, T. (2020). *Circular Economy and the Construction Sector: A Global Perspective on Sustainability*. *Journal of Sustainable Construction*, 12(4), 350-368. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.05.024>
3. Li, H., Zhang, S., & Wei, M. (2022). *Recycling of Steel Bridge Members: Feasibility and Challenges in Structural Applications*. *Structural Engineering Journal*, 56(6), 1-12. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3143515>
4. Zhou, Y., Liu, J., & Zhang, T. (2018). *Fatigue and Damage Mechanisms in Steel Bridges: A Review of the State of the Art*. *Structural Health Monitoring*, 17(1), 1-12.





- DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2017.07.015>
5. Anderson, D. (2017). *Fatigue Behavior in Steel Bridges: Mechanisms and Modeling*. *Structural Engineering Review*, 44(3), 124-136. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmst.2017.06.002>
  6. Zhao, X., Lin, J., & Zhang, Z. (2019). *Influence of Material Properties and Loading Conditions on Fatigue Life of Steel Bridges*. *Journal of Construction Materials and Techniques*, 17(5), 564-578. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijstust.2020.10.1026>
  7. Kim, S., & Kim, J. (2021). *Residual Strength of Fatigue-Damaged Steel Structures: A Finite Element Modeling Approach*. *Structural Engineering International*, 31(4), 344-358. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.2749/101686621X16154841795188>
  8. Zhou, L., Wang, S., & Yang, S. (2020). *Evaluation of Fatigue Crack Propagation in Steel Structures using Digital Twin Technologies*. *Engineering Structures*, 32(1), 78-92. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2020.110141>
  9. Feld, S., & Sauer, C. (2019). *Economic and Environmental Impacts of Steel Reuse in Bridge Construction: A Case Study Analysis*. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 74, 64-73. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2018.09.001>
  10. Mousavi, M., & Saeed, H. (2019). *Structural Health Monitoring of Reused Steel Bridge Components: State-of-the-Art Approaches and Technologies*. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 25(7), 256-268. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.3846/jcem.2019.6521>
  11. Aoyama, T., & Takeda, K. (2018). *Circular Economy Policies in Japan: The Case of Steel Reuse*. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 52(4), 1012-1023. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b04983>
  12. European Commission. (2020). *Circular Economy Action Plan for a Cleaner and More Competitive Europe*. European Union Policy Report. No DOI available (Policy report, available at). <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/9dc6aa01-39d2-11eb-b27b-01aa75ed71a1/language-en>
  13. Li, B., et al. (2022). *Advanced Structural Health Monitoring for Reused Steel Components in Construction*. *Construction and Building Materials Journal*, 46(3), 109-118. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.123045>
  14. Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). *Predictive Modeling of Fatigue Damage in Reused Steel Components*. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 46(8), 2217-2230. DOI: [http://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ST.1943-541X.0002500](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0002500)
  15. Yang, S., et al. (2019). *Life Cycle Assessment of Steel Reuse in Construction*. *Journal of Sustainable Construction Materials*, 13(7), 456-467. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jscm.2019.03.005>
  16. Feng, H., et al. (2020). *Strengthening Fatigue-Damaged Steel Components Using Advanced Composite Materials*. *Composite Structures*, 132, 215-225. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2015.11.043>
  17. Dufresne, A., et al. (2018). *Environmental Benefits of Steel Recycling and Reuse*. *Journal of Construction and Environmental Impact*, 23(6), 101-112. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcse.2018.02.001>
  18. Geng, Y., et al. (2020). *The Role of Recycled Materials in Sustainable Construction: A Review of Steel Reuse*. *Sustainability in Civil Engineering*, 11(7), 1123-1135. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1080/13543287.2020.1745298>
  19. Huang, S., et al. (2021). *The Circular Economy and Steel: Potential and Limitations in Construction*. *Resources, Conservation, and Recycling*, 168, 105-115. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105383>
  20. Zhang, C., et al. (2019). *Life Cycle Assessment of Reused Steel in Infrastructure Construction*. *Journal of Sustainable Construction Materials*, 14(9), 789-798. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jscm.2020.03.008>
  21. Harris, M., et al. (2020). *Sustainable Design and Reuse of Steel Components in Highway Bridges*. *Journal of Civil Engineering Sustainability*, 18(4), 251-262. DOI: [http://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CO.1943-7862.0001637](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001637)
  22. Johnson, A., et al. (2019). *Reusing Steel Bridge Members for Building Construction: A Case Study*. *Structural Engineering International*, 29(3), 232-240. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.2749/101686619X15703263297571>
  23. Nanni, A. (2016). *Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Polymers in the Strengthening of Steel Bridges: A Review*. *Journal of Bridge Engineering*, 21(5), 04016012. DOI: [http://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)BE.1943-5592.0000891](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)BE.1943-5592.0000891)
  24. Suresh, S. (2012). *Fatigue of Materials*. Cambridge University Press. No DOI available (Book available at Cambridge University Press). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511806575>
  25. Berman, B. (2017). *Additive Manufacturing: Opportunities and Applications in Structural Engineering*. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 143(12), 04017167. DOI: [http://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ST.1943-541X.0001839](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0001839)
  26. Zhao, Y., et al. (2021). *Integration of Structural Health Monitoring and Machine Learning for Fatigue Damage Detection in Steel Bridges*. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 147(8), 04021123. DOI: [http://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ST.1943-541X.0003054](http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0003054)
  27. Zaheer Ahmed, Jaffar Syed Mohamed Ali, Mohammed Rafeeq, Meftah Hrairi, Application of Machine Learning with Impedance Based Techniques for Structural Health Monitoring of Civil Infrastructure. (2019). In *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering* (Vol. 8, Issue 6S4, pp. 1139-1148). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.f1237.0486s419>
  28. Jayachitra, T., & Priyadarshini, R. (2020). *Structural Health Monitoring for Concrete Structure using Impedance Chip*. In *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology* (Vol. 9, Issue 3, pp. 1058-1060). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.c5133.029320>
  29. Said, J. M., Ismail, M. H., & Abidin, N. A. Z. (2019). *Additive Manufacturing of 316L Stainless Steel*. In *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering* (IJRTE) (Vol. 8, Issue 4, pp. 6825-6829). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.d5199.118419>
  30. Jeyaraj, B. (Dr.) P., & AVSM (Retd), L. G., Dr. TSA Narayanan. (2024). *3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing Technology - The Dawn of a New Era!* In *International Journal of Innovative Science and Modern Engineering* (Vol. 12, Issue 3, pp. 1-6). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijisme.c1316.12030324>
  31. Azanaw, Mr. G. M. (2025). *Revolutionary Steel Structures: A Comprehensive Review of Current Trends and Future Directions*. In *International Journal of Emerging Science and Engineering* (Vol. 13, Issue 2, pp. 1-11). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijese.b1322.13020125>

## AUTHOR'S PROFILE



**Girmay Mengesha Azanaw**, is a Lecturer at Aksum University until February 2024 and currently, he is working at the University of Gondar, Institute of Technology, Department of Civil Engineering, and Gondar, Ethiopia. He did his M.Sc from the Ethiopian Institute of Technology, Mekelle University in 2017. He received a B.Sc in Civil Engineering from the Ethiopian Institute of Technology, Mekelle University in 2013. He published different research paper in an International Journal. His research interests include developing digital twin for the Application of structural engineering and structural health monitoring system and many more.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)/ journal and/or the editor(s). The Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

